## OP-AMP BASED INTERFACE CIRCUIT FOR RESISTIVE SENSOR WITH LEAD-WIRE-RESISTANCE COMPENSATION

ANUCHA KAEWPOONSUK<sup>1,2</sup>, RATCHANOO KATMAN<sup>1,2</sup> AND APINAI RERKRATN<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics Faculty of Science <sup>2</sup>Research Center for Academic Excellence in Applied Physics Naresuan University Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand anuchak@nu.ac.th; ratchanook@psru.ac.th

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Engineering King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang Chalongkrung Rd., Ladkrabang, Bangkok 10520, Thailand apinai@gmail.com

Received September 2018; accepted December 2018

ABSTRACT. This paper presents a simple technique to implement the resistive sensor interface for remote measuring. The circuit is designed using a relaxation oscillator to generate a square wave signal. The time difference during charging and discharging a capacitor is directly proportional to the sensor's resistance. The structure of the circuit is composed of two op-amps, three bipolar junction transistors, a capacitor, four fixed resistors and a variable resistor. Features of the proposed circuit are single-supply operation and direct interface with a microcontroller without an analog-to-digital converter. In addition, the lead-wire resistance is automatically compensated. When the resistance values of the sensor are varied in the range of 500-1500  $\Omega$  with lead-wire resistance values of 0-100  $\Omega$ , the result of the circuit testing is found that the maximum error is approximately equal to 1.15% of full scale. The performance of the circuit is in accordance with principles proposed.

Keywords: Interface circuit, Resistive sensors, Remote measurement, Op-amp

1. Introduction. Resistive sensors are widely used in measurement and control systems. Sometimes the measuring (including the sensor) and the processing units are separated to the convenience and safety for measurement. In case of a long lead-wire, the resistance of the lead-wire affects the accuracy of the system. There are different design techniques for realization of the interface circuit with lead-wire-resistance compensation. The first interesting circuit is the use of two operational amplifiers (op-amps) connected to two diodes as the main device in the design [1]. After that, the square-wave input voltage is entered to this circuit. The measured square-wave output with a mean value corresponds to the resistance of the sensor. The second circuit is designed using a 555 timer IC in a stable mode with two diodes [2]. It does not require the excitation voltage signal. This circuit provides a square-wave signal which the time difference between the charging and discharging time depends on the resistance of the sensor. The third circuit uses a second generation current conveyor (CCII) connected to two diodes, four analog-switches and four op-amps [3]. Similarly, after a square-wave input voltage is entered to the circuit reported in [1]. The circuit provides the direct current-type (DC) output voltage. The fourth circuit uses three analog switches coupled with two diodes. The time constant of the RC-circuit is measured by microcontroller. Its value is directly proportional to the resistance of the sensor [4]. It is well known that op-amp is a low-cost commercial device

DOI: 10.24507/icicel.13.04.311

A. KAEWPOONSUK, R. KATMAN AND A. RERKRATN

that is familiar to circuit designers. This paper aims to propose the simple and accurate interface circuit for resistive sensor-based remote measurement. The realization method bases on two diodes as well as the technique reported in [1-4]. However, the superior design is the use of two op-amps that does not require an analog switch.

This paper is divided into 5 sections. The design and analysis of the proposed interface circuit for resistive sensor with lead-wire-resistance compensation are described in Section 2. After that, Section 3 shows effect of non-ideal characteristics of transistors used in this paper. The experimental setup and results are discussed in Section 4. Finally, the conclusions are stated in Section 5.

2. Circuit Description. The relaxation oscillator-based interface circuit proposed by employing two op-amps and three bipolar junction transistors is shown in Figure 1. The  $V_{cc}$  and  $V_{os}$  denote a supply voltage of the circuit and an output voltage of the op-amp A<sub>1</sub>, respectively. The resistances  $R_{sens}$ ,  $r_{w1}$  and  $r_{w2}$  represent the resistances of the sensor, the first lead-wire and the second lead-wire, respectively. The op-amp A<sub>2</sub> connected with the variable resistor  $R_v$  acts as the voltage generator to provide the voltage  $V_b$  for the relaxation oscillator. When the  $R_{v1}$  and  $R_{v2}$  are first and second resistances of the variable resistor  $R_v$ , the voltage  $V_b$  is as follows:

$$V_b = \frac{R_{v2}}{R_{v1} + R_{v2}} V_{cc} \tag{1}$$



FIGURE 1. Proposed interface circuit

After considering the oscillator designed using the op-amp  $A_1$ , capacitor  $C_1$  and bipolar junction transistors  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , the function of the  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  is similar to a diode. Define that  $V_{sat(H)}$  and  $V_{sat(L)}$  are the upper-saturation and the lower-saturation values of the output voltage  $V_{os}$ . The resistors  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are used to provide the upper-threshold voltage  $V_H$  and the lower-threshold voltage  $V_L$ , which can be expressed as

$$V_H = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} V_{sat(H)} + \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} V_b \tag{2}$$

$$V_L = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} V_{sat(L)} + \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} V_b \tag{3}$$

For the case of  $V_{os} = V_{sat(H)}$ : the capacitor  $(C_1)$  is charged via the transistor  $Q_1$ . Thus, the charging time  $T_1$  can be written as

$$T_1 = k_1 C_1 \left[ R_{sens} + r_{w1} + r_{w2} \right] \tag{4}$$

$$k_1 = \ln\left[\frac{V_{sat(H)} - V_{be1} - V_L}{V_{sat(H)} - V_{be1} - V_H}\right]$$
(5)

where  $V_{be1}$  is the voltage across the transistor  $Q_1$  while receiving forward bias. For the case of  $V_{os} = V_{sat(L)}$ : the capacitor is discharged via the transistor  $Q_2$ . Thus, the discharging time  $T_2$  can be written as

$$T_2 = k_2 C_1 \left[ R_o + r_{w1} + r_{w2} \right] \tag{6}$$

$$k_{2} = \ln \left[ \frac{V_{H} - V_{sat(L)} - V_{be2}}{V_{L} - V_{sat(L)} - V_{be2}} \right]$$
(7)

where  $V_{be2}$  is the voltage across the transistor  $Q_2$  while receiving forward bias. The  $V_b = (V_{sat(H)} + V_{sat(L)})/2$  is assigned. Assume that parameters  $V_{be2} = V_{be1} = V_{be}$ . Therefore, the time difference  $\Delta T$  between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  can be expressed as

$$\Delta T = T_1 - T_2 = kC_1 \left[ R_{sens} - R_o \right] \tag{8}$$

where

$$k = k_1 = k_2 = \ln\left[\frac{V_{sat(H)} - V_L - V_{be}}{V_{sat(H)} - V_H - V_{be}}\right] = \ln\left[\frac{V_H - V_{sat(L)} - V_{be}}{V_L - V_{sat(L)} - V_{be}}\right]$$
(9)

From Equation (8), it is evident that time difference  $\Delta T$  is directly proportional to the sensor resistance. In addition, the  $\Delta T$  also contains only  $R_{sens}$  and  $R_o$  without  $r_{w1}$ and  $r_{w2}$ . The transistor  $Q_3$  connected with the resistors  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  is used to adjust the level of the obtained square wave signal  $V_{os}$  until it is suitable for interfacing with a microcontroller. In the logical "1" state, the input voltage level must be higher than 2.4 V and lower than 0.8 V in the logical "0" state. Then, the output voltage  $V_{out}$  and the voltage  $V_{os}$  are out of phase. The predicted signals  $V_c$ ,  $V_{os}$  and  $V_{out}$  can be demonstrated as Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. The  $V_{os}$  signal

3. Effect of Non-Ideal Characteristics of Transistors  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ . In conductive state of transistors  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_2$ , the voltage drop across each transistor will be equal to each other. After that Equation (9) is achieved. Practically, the voltages  $V_{be1}$  and  $V_{be2}$ may be slightly different which result in changing Equation (9). We assume

$$V_{be2} = V_{be1} + \Delta V_{be} \tag{10}$$

where  $\Delta V_{be}$  is the value of the difference between the voltages  $V_{be1}$  and  $V_{be2}$ . We define

$$V_{sat(H)} - V_{be1} - V_L = V_H - V_{sat(H)} - V_{be1} = X_o$$
(11)

$$V_{sat(H)} - V_{be1} - V_H = V_L - V_{sat(L)} - V_{be1} = Y_o$$
(12)

When Equations (10) to (12) are substituted into Equations (5) and (7), the  $\Delta T$  can be evaluated by

$$\Delta T = T_1 - T_2 = kC_1 \left[ R_{sens} - R_o \right] \ln \left( \frac{X_o}{Y_o} \right) - \varepsilon_{off}$$
(13)

where

$$\varepsilon_{off} = C_1 \left[ R_o + r_{w1} + r_{w2} \right] \ln \left( \frac{Y_o \left( X_o - \Delta V_{be} \right)}{X_o \left( Y_o - \Delta V_{be} \right)} \right) \tag{14}$$

In Equation (14), the value of the  $\varepsilon_{off}$  is zero, when  $X_o = Y_o$  or  $\Delta V_{be} = 0$ . In the first case, it is impossible because the gain or the transfer function between the  $\Delta T$  and  $R_{sens}$  will be zero. Then, the array transistor is used in this paper to provide two transistors  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  that have the best match.

4. Experimental Results. The proposed circuit, shown in Figure 1, was constructed using commercially available op-amps LM741 (A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub>), array transistors CA3046 (Q<sub>1</sub> and Q<sub>2</sub>), a bipolar junction transistor Q2N3904 (Q<sub>3</sub>) and a variable resistor ( $R_v$ ) of 1 kΩ. The fixed capacitor  $C_1 = 1 \ \mu$ F and resistors  $R_1 = 5 \ k\Omega$ ,  $R_2 = 10 \ k\Omega$ ,  $R_3 = 50 \ k\Omega$  and  $R_4$ = 1 kΩ used in the circuit were selected. The supply voltage  $V_{cc}$  was set to 5 V. Values of the voltages:  $V_{be1} \cong V_{be2} \cong 0.625 \ V$ ,  $V_{sat(H)} = 4.282 \ V$  and  $V_{sat(L)} = 1.900 \ V$  were measured. Thus, the voltage  $V_b = 3.091 \ V$  was assigned. After considering Equations (2) and (3), we get values of the voltages  $V_H = 3.488 \ V$  and  $V_L = 2.694 \ V$ . It results in the k in Equation (9) is 1.74. Then, the value of gain ( $kC_1$ ) in Equation (8) is equal to 1.74 ms/ $\Omega$ .

In order to simulate resistive sensor-base remote measuring, the sensor resistance  $R_{sens}$ and the lead-wire resistance  $r_{w1}$  and  $r_{w2}$  were set with different values as shown in Table 1. Hence, the resistance  $R_o = 1 \ \mathrm{k}\Omega$  was selected. From Table 1, it can be observed that the measured  $\Delta T$  agrees well with the calculated values. The worst-case error is 1.15% of full scale. In case  $r_{w1} = r_{w2} = 0 \ \Omega$ , the  $R_{sens}$  is varied as 0.5 k $\Omega$ , 1 k $\Omega$  and 1.5 k $\Omega$ . The measured signals  $V_c$ ,  $V_{os}$  and  $V_{out}$  are demonstrated in Figures 3(a) to 3(c). Notice that when the  $R_{sens}$  is less than 1 k $\Omega$ , equal to 1 k $\Omega$  and more than 1 k $\Omega$ , the  $T_1$  will be less than  $T_2$ , equal to  $T_2$  and more than  $T_2$  respectively.

D	Colculated	Measured $\Delta T$ (ms)					Worst Case
$n_{sens}$	$\Delta T$ (mg)	$r_{w1} = r_{w2}$	$r_{w1} = r_{w2}$	$r_{w1} = r_{w2}$	$r_{w1} = r_{w2}$	$r_{w1} = r_{w2}$	$E_{\text{max}}$ (07 EC)
(K32)	$\Delta I (\text{ms})$	$= 0 \Omega$	$= 25 \ \Omega$	$= 50 \ \Omega$	$= 75 \Omega$	$= 100 \ \Omega$	E1101S (70FS)
0.5	-0.870	-0.88	-0.88	-0.88	-0.88	-0.87	1.15
0.6	-0.696	-0.69	-0.69	-0.69	-0.69	-0.69	-0.69
0.7	-0.522	-0.53	-0.52	-0.52	-0.52	-0.52	0.92
0.8	-0.348	-0.35	-0.34	-0.34	-0.34	-0.34	-0.92
0.9	-0.174	-0.17	-0.17	-0.18	-0.17	-0.17	0.69
1.0	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.1	0.174	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.17	-0.69
1.2	0.348	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.92
1.3	0.522	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	-0.92
1.4	0.696	0.70	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69	-0.69
1.5	0.870	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.87	0.87	1.15

TABLE 1. Experimental results

Plots of the measured  $\Delta T$  and the calculated  $\Delta T$  versus the sensing resistance  $R_{sens}$  are displayed in Figure 4. It is apparent that all graphs are similar and linear with  $R_{sens}$  values. In addition, slopes of all graphs are according to the calculated value. Figure 5 shows the plots of the error values between the measured  $\Delta T$  and the calculated  $\Delta T$  versus the sensor's resistance  $R_{sens}$ . Although the value of the lead-wire resistance is changed, it slightly affects the circuit performance.



FIGURE 3. The voltage signals  $V_c$ ,  $V_{os}$  and  $V_{out}$  (Vertical scale: 2 V/div., horizontal scale: 2 ms/div.)



FIGURE 4. Plots of  $\Delta T$  versus  $R_{sens}$ 



FIGURE 5. Plots of *Errors* versus  $R_{sens}$ 

5. **Conclusions.** We have demonstrated that the simple and accurate interface circuit for resistive sensor-base remote measuring can be implemented by using two op-amps and three bipolar junction transistors. Based on the relaxation oscillation technique, the proposed circuit was used to provide the square-wave signal which the difference between charging and discharging time is directly proportional to the sensor resistance. The leadwire resistance was also compensated. Experimental results have been shown to agree very well with the expected values. Finally, we expect that our proposed circuit can be applied to a resistive sensor in remote measurement systems.

## REFERENCES

- T. K. Maiti and A. Kar, Novel remote measurement technique using resistive sensor as grounded load in an opamp based V-to-I converter, *IEEE Sensor*, vol.9, no.3, pp.244-245, 2009.
- [2] T. K. Maiti and A. Kar, A new and low cost lead resistance compensation technique for resistive sensors, *Measurement*, vol.43, pp.735-738, 2010.
- [3] W. Petchmaneelumka, P. Julsereewong, A. Julsereewong and J. Tongpakpanang, Simple interface circuit with lead-wire-resistance compensation for single resistive sensor, *ICCAS Conf.*, Jeju Island, Korea, 2012.
- [4] P. R. Nagarajan, B. George and V. J. Kumar, Improved single-element resistive sensor-tomicrocontroller interface, *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol.66, no.10, pp.2736-2744, 2017.