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#### Abstract

A new method for solving the bending vibration equation of viscoelastic cantilever beam with variable cross section is presented in this paper. The fractional order governing equation is established based on the constitutive relation of viscoelastic material, the theory of variable cross-section beam and the motion equation of beam. Numerical results for viscoelastic beams with two different cross sections are given, and the validity of the algorithm is verified. The displacements of variable cross-section beams composed of two kinds of viscoelastic materials under different uniform loads, different harmonic loads and different taper ratios of cross-sections are compared. Therefore, the bending vibration of viscoelastic cantilever beam with variable cross-section is analyzed theoretically.


Keywords: Constitutive relation of fractional viscoelastic material, Viscoelastic cantilever beam, Variable cross-section beam, Bernstein polynomial, Operator matrix, Numerical solution

1. Introduction. The beam whose section changes along the axis is called variable crosssection beam. This kind of beam has great applications in material mechanics [1], mechanical engineering [2] and civil engineering [3]. With the research of advanced viscoelastic materials in recent years, its application value is getting higher and higher.

In many papers, straight beams with a rectangular [4] or circular [5] cross-section are usually selected as the research content. In recent years, the establishment of models for variable cross-section beams $[6,7]$ and numerical solution algorithms [8] have become more and more mature.

With the rapid development of fractional order, most of the constitutive equations of viscoelastic materials adopt fractional order equation [9, 10]. Combined with the theoretical knowledge of beams and the constitutive relation of viscoelastic materials, the fractional order governing equations of various beams are derived. Numerical simulations are carried out in time domain by various numerical methods. The main analysis methods include variational iteration method [11], multi-scale method [12], finite element method [13] and so on.

[^0]In the field of numerical computation, the polynomial algorithm for numerical solution of fractional equations is relatively mature. Legendre polynomials [14], Chebyshev polynomials [15], Bernoulli wavelets [16] and Bernstein polynomials [17] are often used as the basis functions for numerical simulation.

This article will numerically analyze the bending vibration of a viscoelastic variable section cantilever beam. By using the fractional constitutive equation of viscoelastic material and the Hamiltonian principle, the bending vibration equation of the variable cross-section cantilever beam is established. Using the Bernstein polynomial to solve the problem, through the study of numerical results, find the material, section, and the impact of the load on the displacement. The results show that the larger the uniform load is, the larger the displacement is; the larger the frequency of harmonic load is, the larger the displacement is; the larger the taper ratio of variable cross-section beam is, the larger the displacement is. When the same beam composed of polybutadiene and butadiene B252 receives the same load, the displacement of butyl B252 is smaller than that of polybutadiene, and the corresponding damping is larger.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the definition of fractional order, the viscoelastic constitutive relation and the concepts of Bernstein polynomials. In Section 3 the fractional bending vibration equation of a variable cross-section cantilever beam is derived. In Section 4 the algorithm for solving equations by using Bernstein polynomials is given. In Section 5 several numerical examples of beams with variable cross-section are given. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper.
2. Preliminary Knowledge. The fractional differential theory, viscoelastic constitutive equation and Bernstein polynomials are introduced below, which provides a theoretical basis for this study.
2.1. Basic knowledge of fractional calculus. In order to better study the performance of materials, scholars use fractional calculus to establish constitutive equation. At present, the definition of fractional calculus is not unified, and we mainly introduce the definition of Caputo fractional calculus.

Definition 2.1. Fractional Caputo differential definition

$$
{ }_{a}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} f(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{d^{m} f(t)}{d t^{m}}, & \alpha=m \in N^{+}  \tag{1}\\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{f^{(m)}(T)}{(t-T)^{\alpha-m+1}} d T, & 0 \leq m-1<\alpha<m\end{cases}
$$

where $m$ is the smallest positive integer greater than $\alpha$.
For Caputos derivative we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} C=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant.

$$
{ }_{a}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} x^{m}= \begin{cases}0, & m=0  \tag{3}\\ \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m+1-\alpha)} x^{m-\alpha}, & m=1,2, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

where $0<\alpha \leq 1$.
2.2. Fractional viscoelastic constitutive equation. For viscoelastic analysis, mechanical model can be used to study. Most mechanical models are composed of a combination of spring and sticky pot. Viscoelasticity is a material that is a polymer between an ideal elasticity and an ideal viscous material with a stress-strain between the two. This paper adopts Kelvin-Voigt model of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x, t)=E_{0} \varepsilon(x, t)+E_{1} \frac{d^{\alpha} \varepsilon(x, t)}{d t^{\alpha}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3. The definition of Bernstein polynomials basis. Bernstein polynomials of degree are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, n}(x)=\binom{n}{i} x^{i}(1-x)^{n-i} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the binomial expansion of $(1-x)^{n-i}$, Equation (5) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, n}(x)=\binom{n}{i} x^{i}(1-x)^{n-i}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{n-i}{k} x^{i+k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=\left[B_{0, n}(x), B_{1, n}(x), \ldots, B_{n, n}(x)\right]^{T} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7) is expressed in the form of a matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=A T_{n}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{0}\binom{n}{0} & (-1)^{1}\binom{n}{0}\binom{n-0}{1} & \cdots & (-1)^{1}\binom{n}{0}\binom{n-0}{n-0} \\
0 & (-1)^{0}\binom{n}{1}\binom{n-1}{0} & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1}\binom{n}{1}\binom{n-1}{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & (-1)^{0}\binom{n}{n}
\end{array}\right] \\
T_{n}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
x \\
\vdots \\
x^{n}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$A$ is called the coefficient matrix of Bernstein polynomial. Because the coefficient matrix is reversible, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(x)=A^{-1} \Phi(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The Establishment of Bending Vibration Equation. As shown in Figure 1, $x$ is the geometric axis, $y$ is the vertical axis, and $z$ is the horizontal direction. The cross section is circular or rectangular type, and the sectional area decreases along the $x$-axis direction. The length of the beam is $l$. The beam deflection is $u(x, t)$, the beam length is $\rho$, the cross-sectional area is $A(x)$, the transverse load is $f(x, t)$, and the bending moment is $M(x, t)$.

The dynamic equations of beams with variable cross-section are as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho A(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} M(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}=f(x, t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Variable section cantilever beam diagram

This paper adopts Kelvin-Voigt model of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x, t)=E_{0} \varepsilon(x, t)+E_{1} D_{t}^{\alpha} \varepsilon(x, t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(x, t)$ is the axial strain. $\sigma(x, t)$ is the normal cross-section stress. $E_{0}, E_{1}, \alpha$ are the parameters of the viscoelastic material. For viscoelastic materials, we selected polybutadiene and butyl B252. The material is polybutadiene, parameter $\alpha=0.528$, $E_{0}=8.14 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{MPa}, E_{1}=7.31 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{MPa}$. The material is butyl B252, the order is $\alpha=0.519, E_{0}=1.05 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{MPa}, E_{1}=2.44 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{MPa}[18] . D_{t}^{\alpha}$ uses Caputo type fractional differential definition.

The relationship between bending moment and stress is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x, t)=-\int_{A} z \sigma(x, t) d z \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small deformations, the strain-displacement relationship is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(x, t)=z \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Equation (13), the beam bending moment is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x, t)=E_{0} I(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+E_{1} I(x) D_{t}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I(x)$ is the moment of inertia of the section given by $\int_{A(x)} z^{2} d A(x)$.
Thus, after the substitution of Equation (14) in Equation (10), the bending vibration equation of viscoelastic beam with variable cross-section is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho A(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(E_{0} I(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+E_{1} I(x) D_{t}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}\right)=f(x, t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A beam with a variable cross-section is a cantilever beam, and the boundary condition and initial condition are

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(0, t)=\frac{\partial u(0, t)}{\partial x}=0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} u(l, t)}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{3} u(l, t)}{\partial x^{3}}=0 \\
u(x, 0)=\frac{\partial u(x, 0)}{\partial t}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4. Numerical Method of Bernstein Polynomial.

4.1. Function approximation. We can approximate function $u(x, t) \in L^{2}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ by an expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \cong \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_{i, j} B_{i, n}(x) B_{j, n}(t)=\Phi^{T}(x) U \Phi(t) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is the coefficient matrix to be solved

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{00} & u_{01} & \cdots & u_{0 n}  \tag{17}\\
u_{10} & u_{11} & \cdots & u_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
u_{n 0} & u_{n 1} & \cdots & u_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The $U$ can be calculated by the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=Q^{-1}(\Phi(x),(\Phi(t), u(x, t))) Q^{-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2. Numerical algorithm. The first derivative of $\Phi(x)$ can be obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(x)=D \Phi(x) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is an order matrix, which is called the first order differential operator matrix of the Bernstein polynomial.

Now deriving Equation (8), we can get

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(x)=A\left[\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{20}\\
1 \\
\vdots \\
n x^{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Define the $(n+1) \times n$ matrix $V_{(n+1) \times n}$ and vector $T_{n}^{*}(x)$ as

$$
V_{(n+1) \times n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{21}\\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & n
\end{array}\right], \quad T_{n}^{*}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
x \\
\vdots \\
x^{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$T_{n}^{*}(x)$ is expanded in the form of $\Phi(x)$, and we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{*}(x)=B^{*} \Phi(x) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{[1]}^{-1} & A_{[2]}^{-1} & \cdots & A_{[n]}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

$A_{[k]}^{-1}$ is $k$ th row of $A^{-1}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(x)=A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*} \Phi(x) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Converting $\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}$ into a matrix form, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} \cong \frac{d\left(\Phi^{T}(x) U \Phi(t)\right)}{d x}=\Phi^{T}(x) U \frac{d(\Phi(t))}{d x}=\Phi^{T}(x) U D \Phi(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By deducing Equation (8) again, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime \prime}(t)=D^{2} \Phi(t) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}} \cong \frac{\partial^{2}\left(\Phi^{T}(x) U \Phi(t)\right)}{\partial t^{2}}=\Phi^{T}(x)(D)^{2} U \Phi(t)=\Phi^{T}(x)\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{2} U \Phi(t) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(E_{0} I(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}\right) \cong & \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(E_{0} I(x) \frac{\partial^{2}\left(\Phi^{T}(x) U \Phi(t)\right)}{\partial x^{2}}\right) \\
= & \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(E_{0} I(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(D^{T}\right)^{2} \Phi(t)\right) \\
= & E_{0}\left(I^{\prime \prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(D^{T}\right)^{2} U \Phi(t)+2 I^{\prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(D^{T}\right)^{3} U \Phi(t)\right. \\
& \left.+I(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(D^{T}\right)^{4} U \Phi(t)\right) \\
= & E_{0}\left(I^{\prime \prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{2} U \Phi(t)\right. \\
& +2 I^{\prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{3} U \Phi(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+I(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{4} U \Phi(t)\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)$ is expressed as a matrix; combined with Equation (8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t) & \cong D_{t}^{\alpha} \Phi^{T}(x) U \Phi(t) \\
& =\Phi^{T}(x) U D_{t}^{\alpha} \Phi(t) \\
& =\Phi^{T}(x) U A D_{t}^{\alpha} T_{n}(t) \\
& =\Phi^{T}(x) U A D_{t}^{\alpha}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
t \\
\vdots \\
t^{n}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\Phi^{T}(x) U A\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
t \\
\vdots \\
t^{n}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(E_{1} I(x) D_{t}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}\right) \cong & E_{1}\left(I^{\prime \prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{2} U A M A^{-1} \Phi(t)\right. \\
& +2 I^{\prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{3} U A M A^{-1} \Phi(t) \\
& \left.+I(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{4} U A M A^{-1} \Phi(t)\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, insert Equation (27), Equation (28) and Equation (30) into Equation (15). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho A(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{2} \Phi(t)+E_{0}\left(I^{\prime \prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{2} U \Phi(t)\right. \\
& \left.+2 I^{\prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{3} U \Phi(t)+I(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{4} U \Phi(t)\right) \\
& +E_{1}\left(I^{\prime \prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{2} U A M A^{-1} \Phi(t)+2 I^{\prime}(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{3}\right. \\
& \left.U A M A^{-1} \Phi(t)+I(x) \Phi^{T}(x)\left(\left(A V_{(n+1) \times n} B^{*}\right)^{T}\right)^{4} U A M A^{-1} \Phi(t)\right)=f(x, t) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the collocation method, the discrete variable $(x, t)$ is $\left(x_{i}, t_{i}\right)$, and Equation (31) is transformed into a linear system of equations. By using Matlab and least square method, the solution coefficient $u_{i, j},(i=0,1, \ldots, n ; j=0,1, \ldots, n)$ can be obtained, and thus the numerical solution of the original problem can be obtained.
5. Numerical Examples. The solid line in the graph below indicates that the material used is polybutadiene, and the dashed line indicates that the material used is butyl B252.

For cantilever beam parameters $l=1 \mathrm{~m}, A_{0}=10 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, I_{0}=20.833 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}, \rho=8000$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, in this paper, two kinds of variable section viscoelastic beams are studied. The first type is a circular section beam with parameters of

$$
A(x)=A_{0}(1-c x / l)^{2}, \quad I(x)=I_{0}(1-c x / l)^{4}
$$

where $0 \leq c<1$ is the taper ratio of the variable section beam.
The second type is a rectangular beam with parameters of

$$
A(x)=A_{0}(1-c x / l), \quad I(x)=I_{0}(1-c x / l)^{3}
$$

5.1. Round cross-section beam. When the cantilever beams are subjected to loads of 10 Heaviside $(\mathrm{t})$, 30 Heaviside $(\mathrm{t})$ and 50 Heaviside $(\mathrm{t})$, the solution of displacement when $c=0.5, t=0.5455$ can be obtained as Figure 2(a). When the cantilever beams are subjected to loads of $\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} t\right), \sin (\pi t)$ and $\sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2} t\right)$, the solution of displacement when $c=0.5, t=0.5455$ can be obtained as Figure 2(b). When the load is 10Heaviside $(\mathrm{t})$, $t=0.5455$, the taper ratio is $0.25,0.5$, and 0.75 when the displacement solution is as Figure 2(c).
5.2. Rectangular cross-section beam. When the cantilever beams are subjected to loads of 10 Heaviside $(\mathrm{t})$, 30 Heaviside $(\mathrm{t})$ and 50 Heaviside $(\mathrm{t})$, the solution of displacement when $c=0.5, t=0.5455$ can be obtained as Figure 3(a). When the cantilever beams are subjected to loads of $\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} t\right), \sin (\pi t)$ and $\sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{2} t\right)$, the solution of displacement when


(c) Taper ratios

Figure 2. Displacement solutions of round cross-section beam


Figure 3. Displacement solutions of rectangular cross-section beam
$c=0.5, t=0.5455$ can be obtained as Figure 3(b). When the load is 10 Heaviside( t ), $t=0.5455$, the taper ratio is $0.25,0.5$, and 0.75 when the displacement solution is as Figure 3(c).

It can be seen from Figure 2 that the displacement of viscoelastic cantilever beam increases with the increase of uniform load. It can be seen from Figure 3 that the displacement of the viscoelastic cantilever beam increases with the increase of the harmonic load frequency. It can be seen from Figure 3 that the displacement of viscoelastic cantilever beams increases with increasing taper ratio of variable cross-section. From Figure 2 and Figure 3, it can be seen that the beam formed by polybutadiene material beam is larger than that of butyl B252, and the corresponding damping is relatively small.
6. Conclusion. The fractional order governing equations are established according to the constitutive relations of viscoelastic materials, the theory of variable cross-section beams and the equations of motion of beams. In this paper, a new numerical algorithm for solving the fractional order equation of bending vibration of a variable cross-section cantilever beam is established in the time domain. The numerical examples of two kinds of variable cross-section beams are given, and the displacement solutions of beams composed of two viscoelastic materials under different loads are given, which verifies the effectiveness of the algorithm.

The effects of uniform load, harmonic load, variable cross section taper ratio and viscoelastic material on displacement are analyzed. Through image observation, it can be found that with the increase of uniform load, the displacement of beams increases. As
the frequency of harmonic load increases, the displacement of beams increases. With the increase of the taper ratio of the beam with variable cross-section, the displacement of the beam becomes larger. The displacement of a variable cross section beam composed of polybutadiene and butadiene B252 is compared. When the same load and the same cross section, the displacement of butyl B252 is less than the displacement of polybutadiene, and the corresponding damping is also larger.

In this paper, only the displacement of cantilever beam is considered. In future work, rotation angle is also taken into account. Then the fractional order equations are constructed. By solving the equation numerically, the properties of the material can be analyzed.
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